Call to Order at 7:02 p.m.
Roll Call: Council Member Irish, Council Member West, Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, Council Member Stadtherr, Mayor Martinez

City Attorney Julia Lew informed everyone that the Closed Session scheduled for 6:00 p.m. had been cancelled.

Pledge of Allegiance Led by Council Member Ron Irish
Invocation - a moment of silence was observed.

PRESENTATIONS

• “Welcome Back” for Marine Corps Sgt. Michael Benas, Porterville Police Officer
• Nathan Timmerman, Monache High School - Winner of State Wrestling Title
• City Manager’s Featured Projects for March, 2006
  • New Ladder Truck
  • Cal Home Loan

The Council recessed for ten minutes.

It was announced that Item No. 23 - Discussion of Bingo Permits-Senior Complex would be moved to a Closed Session as:

A. Closed Session pursuant to:

Ms. Lew then clarified for the audience the appropriate time for making public commentary on the item.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

• Ben Harvey, 99 S. Ohio, identified himself as a recently annexed resident, and spoke of the need for a code enforcement officer. He suggested hiring an independent contractor with a salary based on performance.
• Ben Webb, 724 West Morton Avenue, requested permission to speak on Item No. 22 when the matter was before the Council.
• Aaron Burgin, a Visalia resident and Porterville Recorder Reporter, invited everyone to the “Hoopin’ For The Homeless” event to be held on May 6, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. at Monache High School to benefit the Central California Family Crisis Center.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Items 4, 12, 13 and 14 were removed for further discussion.
1. CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 21, 2006

Recommendation: That the City Council approve the City Council Minutes of February 21, 2006.

Documentation: M.O. 01-032106
Disposition: Approved.

2. BUDGET ADJUSTMENT - FIRE DEPARTMENT

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Authorize staff to accept the donation.
2. Authorize a budget adjustment to the Fire Department in the amount of the donation.

Documentation: M.O. 02-032106
Disposition: Approved.

3. THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FROM THE AGENDA.

5. AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS - CENTRAL CORE CONCRETE IMPROVEMENTS - AREA 2 & 4 PROJECT

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Approve the Plans and Project Manual; and
2. Authorize staff to advertise for bids on the project.

Documentation: M.O. 03-032106
Disposition: Approved.

6. AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS - MORTON AVENUE CHIP SEAL PROJECT

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Approve the Plans and Project Manual; and
2. Authorize staff to advertise for bids.

Documentation: M.O. 04-032106
Disposition: Approved.

7. AWARD OF CONTRACT - TRAFFIC SIGNAL NO. 8 (PLANO STREET AND MULBERRY AVENUE PROJECT - SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL GRANT)

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Award the Traffic Signal No. 8 Project to Halopoff & Sons, Inc. in the amount of $322,698.08;
2. Authorize progress payments up to 90% of the contract amount; and
3. Authorize a 10% contingency to cover unforeseen construction costs.
8. ACCEPTANCE OF FINAL SUBDIVISION MAP - RIVERVIEW ESTATES, PHASE FOUR (GREAT WESTERN LAND, LLC)

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Approve the final map of Riverview Estates, Phase Four Subdivision;
2. Accept all offers of dedications and vacations shown on the final map; and
3. Authorize the City Clerk to file said map with the County Recorder.

Documentation: M.O. 05-032106
Disposition: Approved.

9. ACCEPTANCE OF FINAL SUBDIVISION MAP - VERITAS ESTATES (VERITAS DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC)

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Approve the final map of Veritas Estates Subdivision;
2. Accept the offer of dedication shown on the final map; and
3. Authorize the City Clerk to file said map with the County Recorder.

Documentation: M.O. 06-032106
Disposition: Approved.

10. APPROVAL OF EXPENDITURE OF MATCH FUNDS FOR TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES (TEA) GRANT FOR ACQUISITION AND INSTALLATION OF BICYCLE STORAGE FACILITIES

Recommendation: That the City Council approve the expenditure of Local Transportation Funds of $5,400 for the match for the TEA Grant for acquisition and installation of bicycle storage facilities on City-owned locations.

Documentation: M.O. 08-032106
Disposition: Approved.

11. GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 1-2006 (A) (FORMERLY 5-2004) (PORTERVILLE COMMERCIAL CENTER) - RESOLUTION OF DENIAL

Recommendation: That the City Council adopt the draft resolution containing the finds for denial of General Plan Amendment 1-2006 (A) (Formerly 5-2004).

Documentation: Resolution 42-2006
Disposition: Approved.
15. AGREEMENT FOR SERVICES WITH PORTERVILLE COLLEGE AND THE KERN COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Recommendation: That the City Council approve the Physical Fitness Training and Fitness Testing Agreement with Porterville College and the Kern Community College District, and authorize the Mayor to execute the same on behalf of the City of Porterville.

Documentation: M.O. 09-032106
Disposition: Approved.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Council Member West, SECONDED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton that the City Council approve Items 1, 2, 3, 5 through 11, and 15. The motion carried unanimously.

4. AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS - PERCOLATION POND EXPANSION AND EFFLUENT PIPELINE EXTENSION PROJECT

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Approve the Plans and Project Manual; and
2. Authorize staff to advertise for bids on the project.

In response to Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton’s request, staff clarified the demolition line items in the Engineer’s Cost Estimate.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Council Member Hamilton, SECONDED by Council Member Stadtherr that the Council approve the Plans and Project Manual; and authorize staff to advertise for bids on the project. The motion carried unanimously.

Disposition: Approved.

12. AGREEMENT WITH STEPHEN J. GILWITZ FOR GOLF MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Recommendation: That the City Council approve the Agreement and authorize and direct the Mayor to execute same.

Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton spoke of Mr. Gilwitz’s enthusiasm for managing the Golf Course, and invited him to address the Council. Mr. Gilwitz came forward and introduced himself to everyone.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, SECONDED by Council Member Irish that the City Council approve the Agreement and authorize and direct the Mayor to execute same.

Documentation: M.O. 10-032106
Disposition: Approved.
13. ADOPTION OF POLICE FEES

Recommendation: That the City Council adopt the draft resolution setting forth the Police Fees for fingerprinting and Police Accident/Crime Report copying.

Council Member Irish commented that he did not want to merely give a blanket approval of the proposed fees and suggested that a study session might be needed to review each of them. He then voiced concern with raising too many fees, and questioned whether such increases would increase service levels.

The City Manager indicated that the fees before the Council that evening had already been through a public hearing as well as a study session. He commented that the fee increases would assist the City in addressing a potential deficit situation. Mr. Longley then elaborated on the fee increase in 1988, and the lengthy review process to date for the proposed fees.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, SECONDED by Council Member Stadtherr that the Council adopt the draft resolution setting forth the Police Resolution 43-2006 Fees for fingerprinting and Police Accident/Crime Report copying.

AYES: Hamilton, Stadtherr, Martinez
NOES: Irish, West
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Disposition: Approved.

14. ADOPTION OF PLANNING FEES

Recommendation: That the City Council adopt the draft resolution setting forth the Planning Fees as recalculated.

City Manager John Longley presented the item, and Deputy City Manager Frank Guyton presented the staff report.

Council Member Irish inquired as to how much the fees would have been increased had the 1988 increase included an annual CPI adjustment, and whether any fees had been raised since that time. He then pointed out that the proposed increase had not included an automatic adjustment and warned that the City would run into the same problem in years to come. He added that in the event a CPI increase was considered in the future, the San Francisco base line should be appropriately adjusted to suit the economics of Tulare County.

Mr. Longley indicated that he was unaware of any fee increases since 1988, and that the CPI calculation could be provided to Council Member Irish. With regard to Council Member Irish’s concern of the exclusion of an annual adjustment, Mr. Longley clarified that the Council could consider a general Ordinance setting forth adjustments.
City Attorney Julia Lew indicated that some adjustment could be made to the San Francisco figure to make it more relevant to the local area. She added that she could look into how other jurisdictions handled the situation, and stated that Engineering News Record might be appropriate.

Council Member Irish questioned how Porterville’s fees would compare to other cities in the region, to which Mr. Longley responded that the comparison would likely differ on a fee to fee basis. He indicated that a comparison chart had been previously provided to the Council, and that his recollection was that if full cost recovery was pursued, Porterville would be near or at the top of the chart. He then surmised that since the fee increase before the Council that evening was one-third of full cost recovery, Porterville’s fees would likely fall closer to the middle on the comparison chart.

Council Member Irish voiced an interest in waiting to take action until such time as the comparison chart was available.

Mr. Longley indicated that the chart had been distributed a couple of times to the Council, and then requested a three-minute recess so as to allow him time to get the comparison chart.

The Council recessed for five minutes.

The Council and staff proceeded to review each of the fees and how they compared to other cities.

Council Member West inquired as to why the proposed increase for a Zone Variance was so substantial. An explanation as to methodology and process was provided.

Council Member Irish then questioned whether having a Planning Commission would impact the fee amount. Mr. Dunlap indicated that it might add an additional step in the process, and emphasized how greatly processes varied from city to city.

Mayor Martinez questioned whether the City’s process regarding Project Review Committee (“PRC”) was the most cost effective compared to other cities. A discussion ensued as to PRC, during which Mr. Dunlap elaborated on what staff perceived to be benefits of PRC to the applicant.

Council Member Irish voiced concern with the Home Occupation Permit Fee, suggesting that the service amounted to nothing more than receiving and processing the form. He also voiced concern with the Temporary Structure Permit Fee, questioning the justification for increasing the fee from $50 to $454.

Mr. Dunlap clarified the process for each of the permits, elaborating on the involvement of staff time in terms of research, inspection and review. He then clarified that the Temporary Structure Permit Fee did not pertain to temporary structures for developers at construction sites. He indicated that those types of structures were determined by the Council to not require Council approval.

Council Member West referenced Fee PL 19 - Development Application Fees, and questioned why the increase was so substantial. It was stated that the proposed fee was based on the amount of staff time taken to process the permit.
Mr. Longley reiterated that he was not aware of any fee that had been increased, and that the last fee review conducted by the City was in 1988.

Mr. Dunlap added that he had monitored the planning fees on an annual basis and spoke of the large disparity between the fee amounts and cost of delivery the services.

**COUNCIL ACTION:** MOVED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, SECONDED by Council Member Stadtherr that the Council adopt the draft resolution setting forth the Planning fees as recalculated.

- **AYES:** West, Hamilton, Stadtherr, Martinez
- **NOES:** Irish
- **ABSTAIN:** None
- **ABSENT:** None

Disposition: Approved.

**PUBLIC HEARINGS**

16. FORMATION OF LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS NO. 30 THROUGH 37, AND ESTABLISHING ASSESSMENTS

Recommendation: That the City Council adopt the draft resolution ordering formation of Landscape and Lighting Maintenance District Numbers 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37, approving Engineer’s Reports, and the method and levy of assessments.

City Manager John Longley presented the item, and Parks & Leisure Services Director Jim Perrine presented the staff report.

The public hearing opened at 8:19 p.m. and closed at 8:20 p.m. when nobody came forward.

Staff clarified for Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton that District No. 32 did not include landscaping.

**COUNCIL ACTION:** MOVED by Council member Stadtherr, SECONDED by Council Member West that the Council adopt the draft resolution ordering the formation of Landscape and Lighting Maintenance District Nos. 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37, approving Engineer’s Reports, and the method and levy of assessments pursuant to the preliminary approval granted by the Council on March 7, 2006. The motion carried unanimously.

Disposition: Approved.

17. COST ALLOCATION PLAN AND USER FEE STUDY - ENGINEERING AND BUILDING FEES AND REMAINING POLICE FEES

Recommendation: That the City Council:
1. Open the public hearing on the revised Cost Allocation Plan for Engineering, Building and Police Fees; and
2. Adopt the draft resolutions outlining the new fee schedule.

City Manager John Longley presented the item, and Deputy City Manager Frank Guyton presented the staff report.

The public hearing opened at 8:26 p.m.

- Jim Winton, business address of 150 W. Morton Avenue, questioned why Item 14 - Adoption of Planning Fees had been placed on the Consent Calendar. He then inquired as to various fees and whether the $434 PRC fee included the engineering portion, or if engineering would also be an additional $319, bringing the total to $753. He then commented that the proposed fees appeared to be in line with other cities.

The public hearing closed at 8:31 p.m.

Council Member Stadtherr noted that the Council had reviewed the fees multiple times, that Mr. Winton believed them to be consistent with other cities, and that the Building Industry Association had also approved of the proposed fees. As such, he moved that the Council approve the proposed fees.

Council Member West seconded Council Member Stadtherr’s motion.

Council Member Irish commented that there had not been a fee that the Council had not approved.

Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton agreed, pointing to the inaction of previous Councils. He then clarified with staff that an engineering component and a planning component could be involved in some fees, as was suggested by Mr. Winton. He then stated that he would have liked to have seen those instances identified.

Mr. Dunlap indicated that the original fee schedule provided to the Council had included the combined planning/engineering fees, yet when it had been amended to remove indirect costs, that detail was removed from the schedule.

**COUNCIL ACTION:** MOVED by Council Member Stadtherr, SECONDED by Council Member West that the City Council adopt the draft resolutions approving the new engineering, building and police fee schedules.

Resolution 46-2006
Resolution 47-2006

AYES: West, Hamilton, Stadtherr, Martinez
NOES: Irish
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Disposition: Approved.
SECOND READING

18. ORDINANCE NO. 1694, ZONE CHANGE 2-2006 (PACIFIC WEST ARCHITECTURE)

Recommendation: That the City Council give Second Reading to Ordinance No. 1694, waive further reading, and adopt said Ordinance.

City Manager John Longley presented the item and the staff report.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Council Member West, SECONDED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton that the Council give Second Reading to Ordinance No. 1694, waive further reading, and adopt said Ordinance, being AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTERVILLE APPROVING ZONE CHANGE 2-2006 FROM M-1 (LIGHT MANUFACTURING) TO R-2 (FOUR FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) FOR THAT 4.48± ACRE VACANT SITE LOCATED GENERALLY ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF DATE AVENUE AND “E” STREET. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Longley read the Ordinance by title only.

Disposition: Approved.

19. ORDINANCE NO. 1695, ZONE CHANGE 3-2006 (SMEE)

Recommendation: That the City Council give Second Reading to Ordinance No. 1695, waive further reading, and adopt said Ordinance.

City Manager John Longley presented the item and the staff report.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Council Member West, SECONDED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton that the City Council give Second Reading to Ordinance No. 1695, waive further reading, and adopt said Ordinance, being AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTERVILLE APPROVING ZONE CHANGE 3-2006 FROM R-3 (D) (MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WITH A “D” OVERLAY SITE REVIEW) TO C-3 (HEAVY COMMERCIAL) FOR THAT SITE LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF MATHEW STREET AND WEST OLIVE AVENUE. The motion carried unanimously.

Disposition: Approved.

The Council recessed for ten minutes.

SCHEDULED MATTERS
20. REPORT OF CURBSIDE RECYCLING SELECTION COMMITTEE CONCERNING RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR COLLECTION OF RESIDENTIAL CURBSIDE RECYCLABLES: RECOMMENDATION TO CITY COUNCIL

Recommendation: That the City Council award the provision of curbside recycling collection services to the City, pursuant to the City’s Response to the RFP.

City Manager John Longley presented the item, and City Attorney and Selection Committee Member Julia Lew presented the Committee’s report.

Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton opined that the City’s savings with regard to not purchasing new vehicles for collection was only short term. He suggested that at some point down the road, the vehicles would need to be replaced, and questioned whether that replacement had been accounted for.

Public Works Director Baldo Rodriguez responded that the City had included $10,000 for vehicle replacement, which staff estimated was the cost for two surplus vehicles. A discussion ensued as to surplus vehicles, new vehicles, and the City’s eight-year rotation policy, during which Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton voiced concern with a policy that would replace a vehicle every eight years regardless of whether it was necessary.

City Manager John Longley informed the Council that the eight-year rotation policy provided reliable service and ensured that the equipment was in good condition.

Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton confirmed with staff that the cost of a new vehicle was approximately $180,000 and that the City had not included the amount in its bid. Mr. Longley elaborated that the City had not included accounting for new vehicles because staff had intended on utilizing surplus vehicles for the service. He indicated that the City’s proposal had been put together as a business, and had applied advantages available to it in order to provide the citizens of Porterville a better deal.

After Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton voiced dissatisfaction with the City’s exclusion of costs for new vehicles in its bid, a discussion ensued as to the replacement of a particular refuse vehicle recently involved in an accident. It was stated by staff that replacement of the vehicle’s cab and chassis would be paid by the City’s insurance company. Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton requested a report on the replacement of the damaged vehicle.

Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton clarified with Ms. Lew that the public education/outreach program would be the primary responsibility of the collector, however Sunset Waste, as the processor, had indicated their intention to provide supplemental services to support the collector. Staff then elaborated on the City’s proposed public outreach plan included in its bid.

The disparity in costs for carts between the two participants was discussed next. It was explained that the City was able to purchase its carts through a cooperative agreement with other public agencies thereby allowing significant price savings.
Mr. Jim Greco of California Work Associates came forward and spoke to Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton’s question re Exhibit B, Item 22. It was clarified that Exhibit C provided the information that the Mayor Pro Tem was seeking.

Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton then voiced concern with being told on a previous project that the City had no surplus funds, yet the use of surplus from the Equipment Replacement Fund had been proposed for this item. City Manager John Longley indicated that the funds to which he referred could not have been used for the Indiana Street Project. Staff elaborated on the funding and the inability to utilize said funds for a streets project. Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton requested that information on all unrestricted monies in the General Fund be provided to the Council.

A discussion ensued as to the Committee’s process in analyzing the data and deriving at its recommendation.

Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton voiced concern with the City’s amortization over a five-year period for carts. Mr. Greco indicated that he had chose to utilize the 5-year amortization as a way to balance the two bids.

Council Member Stadtherr indicated that his questions had been similar to those raised by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, with a couple of exceptions. He stated that he had reconstructed his own analysis and had arrived at a similar conclusion. He stated that while his figures were slightly different, the City still came in lower than that of Sunset Waste. He then confirmed Mr. Greco’s recommendation to award the contract to the City of Porterville, and confirmed that Mr. Greco believed he had discharged his duties in a professional and independent manner.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Council Member Stadtherr, SECONDED by Mayor Martinez that the Council award the provision of curbside recycling collection services to the City, pursuant to the City’s Response to the RFP.

M.O. 12-032106

AYES: Irish, West, Stadtherr, Martinez
NOES: Hamilton
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Disposition: Approved.

21. MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) BETWEEN THE IRRIGATION DISTRICTS AND THE CITY OF PORTERVILLE FOR THE PURCHASE OF SURFACE WATER FOR GROUND WATER RECHARGE

Recommendation: That the Council authorize:
1. The Public Works Director to negotiate a three (3) year MOU with the irrigation districts for the purchase of surface water;
2. The Mayor to sign the agreement; and
3. Payment to be made each year for the purchase of surface water of up to $34,000.
City Manager John Longley presented the item, and Public Works Director Baldo Rodriguez presented the staff report.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, SECONDED by Council Member West that the Council authorize the Public Works Director to negotiate a three (3) year MOU with the irrigation districts for the purchase of surface water; the Mayor to sign the agreement; and payment to be made each year for the purchase of surface water of up to $34,000. The motion carried unanimously.

Disposition: Approved.

22. SECTION 108 LOAN FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES

Recommendation: That the City Council:

1. Approve the use of the remaining Section 108 funds for 1) the construction of unlighted baseball fields at the Heritage Center; and 2) the reconstruction of the parking lot located at the southeast corner of Hockett Street and Mill Avenue;

2. Authorize the Mayor to sign an amendment of the contract with KTU+A for the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of unlighted baseball fields at the Heritage Center; and

3. Authorize staff to prepare plans and specifications for the reconstruction of the parking lot located at the southeast corner of Hockett Street and Mill Avenue.

City Manager John Longley presented the item, and Community Development Director Brad Dunlap presented the staff report.

- Ben Webb, 724 West Morton Avenue, came forward on behalf of the owner of real property located at Second and Oak. He indicated that the property was available for purchase to be utilized for parking.

- Dick Eckhoff, Downtown Porterville Association, 180 North Main Street, voiced support for the City purchasing the property for development of a parking lot. He then emphasized the need for repairs to the parking lot at Mill Avenue and Hockett Street.

Council Member West clarified with the City Manager that enough funds were likely available to purchase the property and repair the Mill/Hockett parking lot if the ball fields were not funded. He added that he believed that the Council had been provided with a memorandum with regard to the property.

A discussion next ensued as to the estimated annual cost of $75,000 for maintenance of the ball fields and the fact that said maintenance costs could not be funded with Section 108 monies.

In response to Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, Mr. Longley indicated that enough funds were likely available for lighted ball fields if the parking lot reconstruction was not pursued. Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton spoke favorably of proceeding with only the lighted ball fields.
Council Member West spoke of the need reconstructing the parking lot. It was stated that parking district funds had not been available for a very long time.

A discussion next ensued as to ball fields within the City.

COUNCIL ACTION: MOVED by Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton, SECONDED by Council Member Irish that the Council approve the use of the remaining Section 108 funds for the construction of two lighted baseball fields at the Heritage Center; and authorize the Mayor to sign an amendment of the contract with KTU+A for the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of lighted baseball fields at the Heritage Center.

AYES: Irish, Hamilton, Stadtherr, Martinez
NOES: West
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Disposition: Approved.

23. DISCUSSION OF BINGO PERMITS - SENIOR COMPLEX

Recommendation: Discussion item pursuant to a request from a Council Member.

This Item was moved to Closed Session.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

At the Mayor’s request, the following City Council Candidates came forward and introduced themselves:

• Pete McCracken
• Lloyd Johnson
• Felipe Martinez

OTHER MATTERS

• City Manager informed the Council of the Enterprise Zone’s impending expiration and requested that a Study Session be set for March 28, 2006 at 5:30 p.m. Mayor Martinez requested that the meeting commence at 6:00 p.m.
• Mayor Pro Tem Hamilton referenced a recent article in the Valley Voice publication pertaining to the City of Tulare’s recent actions with respect to acquiring more industrially-zoned land, and concluded that the Council had taken the appropriate action when it denied Porterville Commercial Center’s request for a General Plan Amendment.
• Council Member Stadtherr informed everyone that the Consolidated Waste Management Authority had requested the appointment of alternates on the Board, and stated that he had requested the item be placed on the next Agenda.

The Council closed the public portion of the meeting at 9:48 p.m. and convened in Closed Session.
CLOSED SESSION:
A. Closed Session Pursuant to:
   1- Government Code Section 54956.8 - Conference with Real Property Negotiators/Property:

      The Council reconvened at 10:45 p.m. and reported that no action had been taken in Closed
      Session.

ADJOURNMENT
      The Council adjourned at 10:46 pm. to the meeting of March 28, 2006 at 6:00 p.m.

Patrice Hildreth, Deputy City Clerk

ATTEST:

Pedro R. Martinez, Mayor